

A NOTE ON THE APPROXIMATE SOLUTION OF THE CAUCHY PROBLEM BY NUMBER-THEORETIC NETS

WANG YUAN

(Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica)

Dedicated to Professor Su Bu-chin On the Occasion of his 80th Birthday and
his 50th Year of Educational Work

§ 1. Introduction

We use $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_s)$ to denote a vector with real coefficients and $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_s)$, $\mathbf{l} = (l_1, \dots, l_s)$ and $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_s)$ the vectors with integral components. We use the notations $\bar{x} = \max(1, |x|)$, $\|\mathbf{m}\| = \bar{m}_1 \cdots \bar{m}_s$, $(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^s m_i x_i$ the scalar product of \mathbf{m} and \mathbf{x} and $Q(\mathbf{x})$ a polynomial of \mathbf{x} . We also use $O(\xi, \dots, \eta)$ to denote a positive constant depending on ξ, \dots, η only, but not always with the same value.

Consider the problem of approximate solution of the equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = Q\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_s}\right)u, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad -\infty < x_\nu < \infty \quad (1 \leq \nu \leq s) \quad (1)$$

with the initial condition

$$u(0, \mathbf{x}) = \varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \sum C(\mathbf{m}) e^{2\pi i(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{x})},$$

where the Fourier coefficients $C(\mathbf{m})$ satisfy

$$|C(\mathbf{m})| \leq O/\|\mathbf{m}\|^\alpha$$

in which $O(>0)$ and $\alpha(>1)$ are two constants.

We use p to denote prime number and $N = [p^{\frac{2\alpha}{4\alpha-1}} (\ln p)^{\frac{-(2\alpha-1)(s-1)}{4\alpha-1}}]$, where $[x]$ denotes the integral part of x . We also use the following notations:

1° $f(t, \mathbf{x})^T$ denotes the set of numbers $f\left(t, \frac{\mathbf{a}k}{p}\right)$, $1 \leq k \leq p$,

2° $\Gamma f^T = \sum_{|\mathbf{m}| \leq N} \tilde{C}(t, \mathbf{m}) e^{2\pi i(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{x})}$,

where

$$\tilde{C}(t, \mathbf{m}) = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k=1}^p f\left(t, \frac{\mathbf{a}k}{p}\right) e^{-2\pi i(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{m})k/p},$$

3° $D_{r_1, \dots, r_s}^T f^T = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^{r_1} \cdots \partial x_s^{r_s}} \Gamma f^T \right)^T$,

Manuscript received March 30, 1981.

where $r_1 + \dots + r_s = r$, $r_i \geq 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq s$),

$$4^\circ \|f\|^2 = \int_{G_s} |f|^2 d\mathbf{x},$$

where G_s denotes the s -dimensional unit cube $0 \leq x_i \leq 1$ ($1 \leq i \leq s$).

Theorem 1. Suppose that $Q(\mathbf{x})$ is a polynomial such that the solution of (1) satisfies $\|u(t, \mathbf{x})\| \leq c(s) \|\varphi(\mathbf{x})\|$.*) Then for any given p , there exists an $\alpha = \alpha(p)$ such that

$$R = \|u(t, \mathbf{x}) - \Gamma v(t, \mathbf{x})^T\| \leq Cc(\alpha, s) p^{\frac{-\alpha(2\alpha-1)}{4\alpha-1}} (\ln p)^{\frac{2\alpha^2(s-1)}{4\alpha-1}}, \quad (2)$$

Where $v(t, \mathbf{x})^T$ denotes the solution of the system of the ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{dv(t, \mathbf{x})^T}{dt} = Q(D_{1,0,\dots,0}^T, \dots, D_{0,\dots,0,1}^T) v(t, \mathbf{x})^T \quad (3)$$

with initial condition

$$v(0, \mathbf{x}) = D_{0,\dots,0}^T \varphi(\mathbf{x})^T.$$

This gives a modification of a result due to Рабенский В. С.^[1] which will be obtained, if the right hand side of (2) is replaced by $Cc(\alpha, s) p^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} (\ln p)^{\frac{(\alpha+1)(s-1)}{2}}$.

If p and $\alpha(p)$ in Theorem 1 are changed by F_{n+1} and $(1, F_n)$ respectively for the case $s=2$, where $F_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \left(\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{s}}{2} \right)^n - \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{s}}{2} \right)^n \right)$, ($n=1, 2, \dots$) denote the Fibonacci sequence, then the right hand side of (2) may be improved slightly by $Cc(\alpha) F_n^{\frac{-\alpha(2\alpha-1)}{4\alpha-1}} (\ln 3 F_n)^{\frac{3\alpha-1}{4\alpha-1}}$.

The vector α is called a good lattice point modulo p by Hlawka, E. or an optimal coefficient modulo p by Коробов, H. M. and a table of good lattice points is contained in many books for the purpose of practical use, for example the book of Hua Loo Keng and Wang Yuan^[2]

§ 2. Several lemmas.

Lemma 1. For any given p , there exists α such that any non-zero solution \mathbf{l} of the congruence

$$(\alpha, \mathbf{l}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

satisfies

$$\|\mathbf{l}\| > c(s) p / (\ln p)^{s-1} \quad (4)$$

and

$$\sum'_{(\alpha, \mathbf{l}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}} \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{l}\|^\alpha} \leq c(\alpha, s) p^{-\alpha} (\ln p)^{\alpha(s-1)}, \quad (5)$$

where \sum' denotes a sum with an exception $\mathbf{l} = \mathbf{0}$, (Cf, Бахвалов, H. С. ^[3])

Lemma 2. Suppose that $\|\mathbf{l}\| \geq 3^s$ and that $1 \leq M \leq \|\mathbf{l}\|/3^s$. Then

$$\sum_{\|\mathbf{m}\| < M} \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{l} + \mathbf{m}\|^\alpha} < c(\alpha, s) M^\alpha \|\mathbf{l}\|^{-\alpha}$$

(Cf. Wang Yuan [4]).

*) For example, $Q(\mathbf{x})$ is a positive definite quadratic form.

In the following, the vector α is taken such that (4) and (5) are satisfied.

Lemma 3. *We have*

$$\Gamma(\theta^{2\pi i(l, \mathbf{x})})^T = \sum_{\substack{\|\mathbf{m}\| \leq N \\ (\alpha, l - \mathbf{m}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}}} \theta^{2\pi i(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{x})}.$$

In particular,

$$\Gamma(\theta^{2\pi i(l, \mathbf{x})})^T = \theta^{2\pi i(l, \mathbf{x})}$$

for $\|\mathbf{l}\| \leq N$ and $p > c(s)$.

Proof

$$\Gamma(\theta^{2\pi i(l, \mathbf{x})})^T = \sum_{\|\mathbf{m}\| \leq N} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k=1}^p \theta^{2\pi i(\alpha, l)k/p} \theta^{-2\pi i(\alpha, \mathbf{m})k/p} \theta^{2\pi i(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{x})} = \sum_{\substack{\|\mathbf{m}\| \leq N \\ (\alpha, l - \mathbf{m}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}}} \theta^{2\pi i(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{x})}.$$

The Lemma is proved.

Lemma 4. *Suppose that $\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \theta^{2\pi i(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{x})}$, where $\|\mathbf{m}\| \leq N$. Then $R = 0$.*

Proof Suppose that

$$u(t, \mathbf{x}) = u(t) \theta^{2\pi i(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{x})}$$

and

$$v(t, \mathbf{x})^T = v(t) (\theta^{2\pi i(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{x})})^T,$$

where $u(0) = v(0) = 1$. Substituting into (1) and (3), we have

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = u'(t) \theta^{2\pi i(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{x})} = Qu = u(t) Q(2\pi i \mathbf{m}) \theta^{2\pi i(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{x})}$$

and

$$v'(t) (\theta^{2\pi i(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{x})})^T = v(t) Q(2\pi i \mathbf{m}) (\theta^{2\pi i(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{x})})^T$$

by Lemma 3. Hence

$$u'(t) = u(t) Q(2\pi i \mathbf{m})$$

and

$$v'(t) = v(t) Q(2\pi i \mathbf{m}).$$

Since $u(t)$ and $v(t)$ satisfy the same ordinary differential equation with the same initial value, therefore $u(t) \equiv v(t)$ and the Lemma follows.

Lemma 5. *Let*

$$\varphi_2(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\|\mathbf{m}\| > N} C(\mathbf{m}) \theta^{2\pi i(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{x})}.$$

Then

$$\|\Gamma \varphi_2(\mathbf{x})^T\| \leq Cc(\alpha, s) p^{\frac{-\alpha(2\alpha-1)}{4\alpha-1}} (\ln p)^{\frac{4\alpha^2(s-1)}{4\alpha-1}}$$

Proof It follows from Lemma 3 that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Gamma \varphi_2(\mathbf{x})^T\|^2 &= \int_{G_s} \left| \sum_{\|\mathbf{m}\| > N} C(\mathbf{l}) \Gamma(\theta^{2\pi i(l, \mathbf{x})})^T \right|^2 d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \int_{G_s} \left| \sum_{\|\mathbf{m}\| \leq N} \sum_{\substack{\|\mathbf{l}\| > N \\ (\alpha, l - \mathbf{m}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}}} C(\mathbf{l}) \theta^{2\pi i(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{x})} \right|^2 d\mathbf{x} = \sum_{\|\mathbf{m}\| \leq N} \left(\sum_{\substack{\|\mathbf{l}\| > N \\ (\alpha, l - \mathbf{m}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}}} C(\mathbf{l}) \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathbf{l} - \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{n}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Gamma \varphi_2(\mathbf{x})^T\|^2 &\leq C^2 \sum_{\|\mathbf{m}\| \leq N} \left(\sum'_{(\alpha, \mathbf{n}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}} \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{m}\|^\alpha} \right)^2 \\ &= C^2 \sum_{\|\mathbf{m}\| \leq N} \sum'_{(\alpha, \mathbf{n}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}} \left(\frac{\|\mathbf{n}\|}{\|\mathbf{m}\| \|\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{m}\|} \right)^\alpha \frac{\|\mathbf{m}\|^\alpha}{\|\mathbf{n}\|^\alpha} \sum'_{(\alpha, \mathbf{l}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}} \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{l} + \mathbf{m}\|^\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\frac{\|\mathbf{n}\|}{\|\mathbf{m}\|\|\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{m}\|} \leq 2^s$$

and we may suppose that $p > c(s)$, by Lemmas 1 and 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Gamma\varphi_2(\mathbf{x})^T\|^2 &\leq C^2c(\alpha, s)N^{2\alpha}p^{-2\alpha}(\ln p)^{2\alpha(s-1)} \\ &\leq C^2c(\alpha, s)p^{\frac{-2\alpha(2\alpha-1)}{4\alpha-1}}(\ln p)^{\frac{4\alpha(s-1)}{4\alpha-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

The Lemma is proved.

Lemma 6. If $v(0, \mathbf{x})^T = (\Gamma\varphi_2(\mathbf{x})^T)^T$, then

$$\|\Gamma v(t, \mathbf{x})^T\| \leq Cc(\alpha, s)p^{\frac{-\alpha(2\alpha-1)}{4\alpha-1}}(\ln p)^{\frac{2\alpha^2(s-1)}{4\alpha-1}}.$$

Proof For any given \mathbf{l} , we shall prove that the congruence

$$(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{l} - \mathbf{m}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad (6)$$

has at most 1 solution \mathbf{m} satisfying

$$\|\mathbf{m}\| \leq N.$$

In fact, if there are two different vectors \mathbf{m} and \mathbf{m}' satisfying (6), then $\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{m}' \neq \mathbf{0}$,

$$(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{m}') \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

and

$$\|\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{m}'\| \leq 2^s N$$

which leads to a contradiction with Lemma 1. Hence by Lemma 3, we have

$$\Gamma(e^{2\pi i(\mathbf{l}, \mathbf{x})})^T = 0 \text{ or } e^{2\pi i(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{x})},$$

where $\|\mathbf{m}\| \leq N$. Consequently, it follows from Lemma 4 that the solution $u(t, \mathbf{x})$ of the partial differential equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = Q\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^s}\right)u, \\ u(0, \mathbf{x}) = \Gamma\varphi_2(\mathbf{x})^T \end{cases}$$

and $\Gamma v(t, \mathbf{x})^T$ are identical, where $v(t, \mathbf{x})^T$ is the solution of the ordinary differential equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dv(t, \mathbf{x})^T}{dt} = Q(D_{1,0,\dots,0}^T, \dots, D_{0,\dots,0,1}^T)v(t, \mathbf{x})^T, \\ v(0, \mathbf{x})^T = (\Gamma\varphi_2(\mathbf{x})^T)^T. \end{cases}$$

Hence by Lemma 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Gamma v(t, \mathbf{x})^T\| &= \|v(t, \mathbf{x})\| \leq c(s)\|u(0, \mathbf{x})\| \leq c(s)\|\Gamma\varphi_2(\mathbf{x})^T\| \\ &\leq Cc(\alpha, s)p^{\frac{-\alpha(2\alpha-1)}{4\alpha-1}}(\ln p)^{\frac{2\alpha^2(s-1)}{4\alpha-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

The Lemma is proved.

§ 3. The proof of Theorem 1.

Let

$$\varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \varphi_1(\mathbf{x}) + \varphi_2(\mathbf{x}),$$

where

$$\varphi_1(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\|\mathbf{m}\| \leq N} C(\mathbf{m})e^{2\pi i(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{x})}$$

and

$$\varphi_2(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{|\mathbf{m}| > N} C(\mathbf{m}) e^{2\mathbf{x}i(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{x})}.$$

Let $u_1(t, \mathbf{x})$ and $u_2(t, \mathbf{x})$ denote the solutions of the equation (1) with the initial conditions $u_1(0, \mathbf{x}) = \varphi_1(\mathbf{x})$ and $u_2(0, \mathbf{x}) = \varphi_2(\mathbf{x})$ respectively. Further let $v_1(t, \mathbf{x})^T$ and $v_2(t, \mathbf{x})^T$ be the solutions of the equation (3) with the initial conditions $v_1(0, \mathbf{x})^T = D_{0, \dots, 0}^T \varphi_1(\mathbf{x})^T$ and $v_2(0, \mathbf{x})^T = D_{0, \dots, 0}^T \varphi_2(\mathbf{x})^T$ respectively. Then

$$u(t, \mathbf{x}) = u_1(t, \mathbf{x}) + u_2(t, \mathbf{x})$$

and

$$v_1(t, \mathbf{x})^T = v_1(t, \mathbf{x})^T + v_2(t, \mathbf{x})^T.$$

It follows that

$$\|u_1(t, \mathbf{x}) - \Gamma v_1(t, \mathbf{x})^T\| = 0$$

by Lemma 4 and that

$$\|\Gamma v_2(t, \mathbf{x})^T\| \leq Cc(\alpha, s) p^{\frac{-\alpha(2\alpha-1)}{4\alpha-1}} (\ln p)^{\frac{2\alpha^2(s-1)}{4\alpha-1}}$$

by Lemma 5. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_2(t, \mathbf{x})\| &\leq \|u_2(0, \mathbf{x})\| = \left(\int_{G_s} |\varphi_2(\mathbf{x})|^2 d\mathbf{x} \right)^{1/2} \leq C \left(\sum_{|\mathbf{m}| > N} \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{m}\|^{2\alpha}} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq Cc(\alpha, s) N^{-\frac{2\alpha-1}{2}} (\ln p)^{\frac{s-1}{2}} \leq Cc(\alpha, s) p^{-\frac{\alpha(2\alpha-1)}{4\alpha-1}} (\ln p)^{\frac{2\alpha^2(s-1)}{4\alpha-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t, \mathbf{x}) - \Gamma v(t, \mathbf{x})^T\|^2 &= \|u_1(t, \mathbf{x}) + u_2(t, \mathbf{x}) - \Gamma v_1(t, \mathbf{x})^T - \Gamma v_2(t, \mathbf{x})^T\|^2 \\ &\leq 3(\|u_1(t, \mathbf{x}) - \Gamma v_1(t, \mathbf{x})^T\| + \|u_2(t, \mathbf{x})\|^2 + \|\Gamma v_2(t, \mathbf{x})^T\|^2) \\ &\leq C^2 c(\alpha, s) p^{\frac{-2\alpha(2\alpha-1)}{4\alpha-1}} (\ln p)^{\frac{4\alpha^2(s-1)}{4\alpha-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

The Theorem Ts proved.

References

- [1] Рябенский, В. С., Об одном способе получения разностных схем и об использовании теоретико-числовых сеток для Решения Задачи Коши Методом Конечных Разностей, *Тру. Мат. ИИСТ. АН СССР*, Том LX, (1961), 232—237.
- [2] Hua Loo Keng and Wang Yuan, Applications of number theory to numerical analysis, *Sci. Press* (Beijing), 1978.
- [3] Бахвалов, Н. С., Приближенном Вычислении Кратных Интегралов, *Вест. МГУ*, 4 (1959), 3—18.
- [4] Wang Yuan, A note on interpolation of a certain class of functions, *Sci. Sin.*, 10 (1960), 632—636

关于用数论网格求柯西问题渐近解的一个注记

王 元

(中国科学院数学研究所)

摘 要

Рябенский, В. С. 曾提出用数论网格构造的常微分方程组的解来构造偏微分方程

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = Q\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_s}\right)u, & 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad -\infty < x_\nu < \infty (1 \leq \nu \leq s), \\ u(0, \mathbf{x}) = \varphi(\mathbf{x}) \end{cases}$$

的近似解 $u^*(t, \mathbf{x})$ 的方法, 此处 $Q(\mathbf{x})$ 为多项式. 当 $Q(\mathbf{x})$ 与 $\varphi(\mathbf{x})$ 适合某些条件时, 他并给出了用 $u^*(t, \mathbf{x})$ 逼近 $u(t, \mathbf{x})$ 的误差估计.

本文改进 Рябенский 的结果, 即将误差估计中的误差主阶 $p^{-\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}$ 改进为 $p^{-\frac{\alpha(2\alpha-1)}{4\alpha-1}}$, 此处 p 为所用常微分方程的个数, 而 α 为刻画 $\varphi(\mathbf{x})$ 光滑度的大于 1 的常数.